

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/614,415	07/07/2003	Ho-Won Jung	678-1198 (P10994)	7470		
28249	7590 10/02/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	H & BARRESE, LLP		HUYNH, CHUCK			
	OVINGTON BLVD. LE, NY 11553		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•		2617			
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
10/614,415		JUNG ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Chuck Huynh	2617		
	Chuck Huyiiii	2017		

	Chuck Huynh		2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	vith the co	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONF	DITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a N wing replies: (1) an amend otice of Appeal (with appea	Notice of A Iment, affi Il fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba davit, or other evider ompliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date 	of the final rejection			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire! Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date ater than SIX MONTHS from (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) W	the mailing	date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for r than three months after the r	g amount origin	of the fee. The approprially set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.)	37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of se appeal. Since
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 	ensideration and/or search ow);	(see NOT	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	•	finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	• • • •	f Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			,	(· / ·
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a s	•	•	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	⊠ will not be entered, or vided below or appended.	b) 🗌 will	be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of t d sufficient reasons why th	filing a No he affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections und y and was not earlier prese	der appea ented. Se	il and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	on of the status of the claim	ns after er	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the app	olication in	condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	·	guste	لب
			DUC M. NGUYEN ISORY PATENT EX INOLOGY CENTER	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended limitations have changed the scope of the claims; therefore the claims raise new issues that require further search.